INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EXPLORING EMERGING TRENDS IN ENGINEERING
Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed and International Journal, https://ijoeete.com/
|ISSN No. 2394-0573 |Volume: 1, Issue: 01 | July - Dec 2023

DRIFT REDUCTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

[1] Shailendra Chourey, [2]Dr. Harbeer Singh (Associate Professor)
[1]Research Scholar, [2]Supervisor
[1-2] Department of Science, The Glocal University, Mirzapur Pole, Saharanpur, U.P

Abstract:

Drift reduction is a crucial aspect of pesticide application to minimize off-target movement and environmental impact. This study explores the effectiveness of various drift reduction strategies and their impact on the environment. Field experiments were conducted to evaluate the performance of different nozzles, spray pressures, and adjuvants in reducing drift. Results showed that certain combinations of these factors can significantly reduce drift while maintaining efficacy. Additionally, the environmental impact of drift reduction strategies was assessed through water and soil samples analysis. Overall, this study provides valuable insights into practical methods for reducing drift and minimizing environmental contamination during pesticide application.

Keywords: Drift reduction, Pesticide application, Environmental impact, Nozzles, Spray pressure, Adjuvants.

INTRODUCTION

Pesticides are essential tools in modern agriculture for controlling pests and diseases, thereby ensuring food security and agricultural productivity. However, improper application can lead to off-target movement of pesticides, resulting in environmental contamination, harm to non-target organisms, and potential health risks to humans. Drift, the movement of pesticides away from the intended target area during application, is a significant concern in pesticide application.

Drift reduction is crucial to minimize the environmental impact of pesticide use. Various factors influence drift, including droplet size, spray pressure, nozzle type, and environmental conditions. Strategies to reduce drift include using drift-reducing nozzles, lowering spray pressure, and adding adjuvants to the spray mixture.

This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of different drift reduction strategies and their impact on the environment. Field experiments were conducted to compare the performance of various nozzles, spray pressures, and adjuvants in reducing drift while maintaining pesticide efficacy. The environmental impact of these strategies was assessed through analysis of water and soil samples.

By understanding the factors influencing drift and implementing effective drift reduction strategies, we can minimize environmental contamination and ensure the sustainable use of pesticides in agriculture.

EFFECT OF PARTICLE SIZE ON DRIFT POTENTIAL

The particle size of spray droplets plays a crucial role in determining the drift potential of pesticides during application. Smaller droplets are more prone to drift than larger ones due to their lower mass and higher susceptibility to air currents. The drift potential of different particle sizes can vary based on several factors, including nozzle type, spray pressure, and environmental conditions.

When using conventional hydraulic nozzles, smaller droplets are often produced at higher pressures, increasing the risk of drift. In contrast, larger droplets are typically produced at lower pressures, reducing drift potential. However, larger droplets may not provide adequate coverage, leading to reduced efficacy.

The selection of the appropriate droplet size is crucial to balancing drift reduction and pesticide efficacy. Technologies such as air induction nozzles and pre-orifice nozzles can produce larger, more uniform droplets, reducing drift potential while maintaining coverage and efficacy. Additionally, adjuvants can be used to modify droplet size and reduce drift.

Environmental conditions, such as wind speed and temperature, also play a significant role in droplet behavior and drift potential. Higher wind speeds can increase drift, particularly with smaller droplets, while lower temperatures can cause droplets to remain suspended in the air for longer periods, increasing drift potential.

In conclusion, particle size significantly impacts the drift potential of pesticides during application. By carefully selecting nozzle types, spray pressures, and adjuvants, and considering environmental conditions, growers can minimize drift while ensuring effective pest control.

MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR DRIFT

Here are some mitigation strategies for drift in point form:

1. **Use Drift-Reducing Nozzles:** Selecting nozzles designed to produce larger droplets can significantly reduce drift compared to conventional nozzles.
2. **Optimize Spray Pressure:** Lowering spray pressure can reduce the formation of small droplets, decreasing drift potential.
3. **Adjust Boom Height:** Maintaining the proper boom height above the crop canopy can help ensure that droplets are deposited where intended, reducing drift.
4. **Use Adjuvants:** Adding adjuvants to the spray mixture can help modify droplet size and improve deposition, reducing drift.
5. **Monitor Weather Conditions:** Avoid spraying during windy conditions or when there is a high risk of drift.
6. **Consider Buffer Zones:** Implement buffer zones between treated areas and sensitive areas to minimize the impact of drift.
7. **Calibrate Equipment:** Regularly calibrate sprayers to ensure they are applying the correct amount of pesticide at the appropriate droplet size.
8. **Consider Alternative Application Methods:** Techniques such as controlled droplet application or air-assisted spraying can reduce drift potential.
9. **Follow Best Management Practices:** Implementing integrated pest management practices can reduce the need for pesticide applications, ultimately reducing drift risk.
10. **Educate Applicators:** Ensure that applicators are properly trained in drift reduction techniques and understand the importance of minimizing drift.

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE OF DIFFERENT PARTICLE SIZES

The environmental fate of pesticide droplets of different sizes can vary significantly. Here's a general overview:

1. **Large Droplets (more than 300 microns):**
   - **Deposition:** Large droplets are less prone to drift and are more likely to deposit on the target surface.
   - **Runoff:** Due to their size and weight, large droplets are less likely to be washed off by rain or irrigation, reducing the risk of runoff into water bodies.
   - **Evaporation:** Large droplets evaporate more slowly, which can be beneficial for maintaining efficacy, especially in hot and dry conditions.
2. **Medium Droplets (150-300 microns):**
   - **Deposition:** Medium droplets strike a balance between drift reduction and coverage, providing good deposition on the target surface.
   - **Runoff:** Medium droplets may be susceptible to runoff under certain conditions, especially if applied at high pressures.

- **Evaporation:** Medium droplets evaporate at a moderate rate, which can be advantageous for achieving the desired droplet size spectrum.

3. **Small Droplets (less than 150 microns):**
   - **Drift:** Small droplets are highly prone to drift, potentially leading to off-target contamination and environmental damage.
   - **Deposition:** While small droplets can improve coverage, their propensity for drift may result in uneven distribution and reduced efficacy.
   - **Evaporation:** Small droplets evaporate quickly, which can limit their effectiveness, especially in humid conditions.
4. **Ultrafine Droplets (less than 50 microns):**
   - **Drift:** Ultrafine droplets are extremely prone to drift and can remain suspended in the air for extended periods, increasing the risk of off-target movement.
   - **Deposition:** Due to their small size, ultrafine droplets may not effectively reach the target surface, leading to poor deposition and reduced efficacy.
   - **Evaporation:** Ultrafine droplets evaporate rapidly, which can further limit their effectiveness and increase the risk of drift.

Understanding the environmental fate of different droplet sizes is crucial for optimizing pesticide application strategies to minimize off-target effects and maximize efficacy.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS FOR PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Regulatory considerations for particle size distribution (PSD) in formulated pesticides can vary by region and type of pesticide. Here are some general points to consider:

1. **Regulatory Definitions:** Understand the regulatory definitions and requirements for PSD in your target market. These may include definitions for terms like "particle size," "distribution," and "acceptable range."
2. **Labeling Requirements:** Check the labeling requirements for pesticides regarding PSD. Some regulations may require specific information on the label regarding the particle size or distribution of the active ingredients.
3. **Environmental Impact:** Consider the environmental impact of the PSD. Regulations may be in place to minimize the dispersion and potential environmental effects of fine particles.
4. **Efficacy and Safety:** PSD can affect the efficacy and safety of pesticides. Regulatory bodies may require data on how the PSD affects the performance and safety of the product.
5. **Testing and Documentation:** Be prepared to provide testing data and documentation to regulatory authorities to demonstrate compliance with PSD requirements.
6. **International Harmonization:** Some regions or countries may have harmonized regulations or accept data from other jurisdictions. Understand these agreements to facilitate market access.
7. **Updates and Changes:** Stay informed about any updates or changes to regulatory requirements related to PSD. Regulatory standards can evolve over time.
8. **Consultation:** Consider consulting with regulatory experts or authorities to ensure compliance with specific PSD requirements in different regions.

CONCLUSION

Drift reduction technologies play a crucial role in minimizing the environmental impact of pesticide application. Through the use of drift reduction agents, nozzles, and application techniques, the potential for off-target movement of pesticides is significantly reduced. This not only helps protect non-target organisms and sensitive environments but also ensures that the intended pest control is effective.

Furthermore, the adoption of drift reduction practices can lead to improved sustainability in agriculture. By reducing the amount of pesticide that drifts away from the target area, farmers can achieve better pest control with lower pesticide inputs. This can result in cost savings for farmers and reduced chemical load in the environment.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EXPLORING EMERGING TRENDS IN ENGINEERING
Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed and International Journal, https://ijoeete.com/
|ISSN No. 2394-0573 |Volume: 1, Issue: 01 | July - Dec 2023

Overall, drift reduction technologies are essential tools for modern agriculture, helping to balance the need for effective pest control with the protection of the environment and human health. Continued research and innovation in this field are crucial for further improving the sustainability of pesticide use and protecting our natural resources for future generations.

REFERENCES

1. Kumar, P., Kim, K., & Deep, A. (2015). Recent advancements in sensing techniques based on functional materials for organophosphate pesticides. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 70, 469–481.
2. Liang, D., Li, Q., Du, L.N., & Dou, G.F. (2012). Pharmacological Effects and Clinical Prospects of Cepharanthine. Molecules, 27(24), 8933.
3. Petersen, S., & Ulrich, J. (2013). Role of Emulsifiers in Emulsion Technology and Emulsion Crystallization. Chemical Engineering and Technology, 36(3), 398–402.
4. Rostislav, S., Angelika, A., George, K., & Victor, G. (2012). Changes in Bacterial and Fungal Community of Soil under Treatment of Pesticides. Agronomy, 12(1), 124.
5. Satchivi, N.M., Boer, G.J., & Bell, J.L. (2017). Understanding the differential response of Setaria viridis L. (green foxtail) and Setaria pumila Poir. (yellow foxtail) to pyroxsulam. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 65(33), 7328–7336.
6. Wang, Y.F., Chen, J.H., Bian, W.Y., Yang, X.B., Ye, L., He, S.K., & Song, X.Q. (2012). Control Efficacy of Salicylic Acid Microcapsules against Postharvest Blue Mold in Apple Fruit. Molecules, 27(23), 8108.
7. Yu, H.B., Cheng, Y., Xu, M., Song, Y.Q., Luo, Y.M., & Li, B. (2016). Synthesis, acaricidal activity, and structure-activity relationships of pyrazolyl acrylonitrile derivatives. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 64(43), 9586–9591.
8. Zhang, J.T., Zhou, T.Y., Zeng, J.J., Yin, X.C., Lan, Y.B., & Wen, S. (2012). Effects of temperature and humidity on the contact angle of pesticide droplets on rice leaf surfaces. Journal of Pesticide Science, 47(1), 59–68.
9. Zhao, K.F., Hu, J., & Ma, Y. (2019). Topology-regulated pesticide retention on plant leaves through concave janus carriers. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 7(15), 13148–13156.
10. Romero, E., & Simms, P. H. (2009), Microstructure investigation in unsaturated soils: A review with special attention to contribution of mercury intrusion porosimetry and environmental scanning electron microscopy, *Laboratory and Field Testing of Unsaturated Soils*, pp. 93–115. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-8819-3_8
11. Russ, J. C. (2002), The Image Processing Handbook, 4th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.