



IDENTIFYING THE DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEMS IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS

¹Poonam Sharma, ²Dr. Samta Soni (Assistant Professor)

¹Research Scholar, ²Supervisor

¹⁻² Department of Commerce, Om Sterling Global University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Abstract

This study investigates the distinctive characteristics of performance appraisal systems in public sector banks (PSBs) and private sector banks (PSBs), focusing on key factors such as standardization, reward systems, and feedback frequency. The research aims to compare the structural differences in performance appraisals between the two sectors and assess how these systems influence employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational performance. A total sample size of 600 employees was surveyed, with 300 employees from each sector, ensuring a balanced representation across gender, age groups, and experience levels. The primary data was collected through structured surveys, with respondents evaluating aspects of their bank's performance appraisal system on a 5-point Likert scale. Chi-square tests were used to analyze significant differences between the two sectors in terms of appraisal structure, feedback, and rewards, confirming that private sector banks employ more performance-driven systems, resulting in higher employee motivation and job satisfaction. Public sector banks, conversely, maintain more bureaucratic and seniority-based appraisal systems that are less linked to performance, leading to lower employee satisfaction. The findings suggest that public sector banks could improve employee engagement by incorporating more performance-oriented elements from the private sector, fostering a more dynamic and responsive work environment.

Keywords: Performance Appraisal Systems, Public Sector Banks, Private Sector Banks, Employee Motivation, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Performance, Feedback Frequency

1. Introduction

Performance appraisal systems are vital mechanisms in organizations for assessing employee performance, providing feedback, and guiding career progression (Gupta & Jaiswal, 2020). In the banking sector, where employee performance directly impacts organizational success, effective performance management is particularly crucial. However, performance appraisal systems in public sector banks (PSBs) and private sector banks exhibit distinctive characteristics due to differing organizational structures, goals, and operational environments (Agarwal & Anand, 2020). Public sector banks are typically characterized by rigid, bureaucratic systems that emphasize adherence to rules and seniority-based promotions (Raiyani, 2020). In these organizations, performance appraisals are often standardized across branches, and career progression is generally linked to tenure rather than individual performance. While these systems ensure fairness and job security, they may not always incentivize high performers or encourage innovation (Gupta & Jaiswal, 2020). Promotions and rewards in PSBs are often more closely tied to the length of service, which can lead to a lack of motivation for employees who feel that performance is not adequately recognized or rewarded. Conversely, private sector banks operate in a more competitive, dynamic environment, where performance-driven metrics are prioritized (Agarwal & Anand, 2020). In these organizations, performance appraisals are highly individualized, with clear links to career growth, financial rewards, and promotions. The systems in private sector banks are more flexible, allowing for a quicker response to changes in employee performance, which fosters a culture of high performance and continuous improvement (Ahmad et al., 2019). Regular feedback and merit-based rewards in these banks are designed to align employee goals with organizational objectives, thereby driving better results and employee satisfaction (Taneja et al., 2020). This study aims to identify and compare the distinctive characteristics of performance appraisal systems in public and private sector banks. The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To compare the structure and focus of performance appraisal systems in public and private sector banks, examining key elements such as standardization, reward systems, and feedback frequency.
2. To assess how these appraisal systems influence employee motivation, job satisfaction, and overall performance in both sectors.



The purpose of this study is to provide a comprehensive understanding of how performance appraisal systems in public and private sector banks differ and how these differences impact employee behavior and organizational outcomes. The findings from this research could inform recommendations for enhancing performance appraisal practices in public sector banks by incorporating more flexible, performance-oriented elements from the private sector. Additionally, this study aims to highlight potential challenges faced by employees in both sectors and suggest ways to address them to improve overall employee engagement and satisfaction.

2. Review of Literature

1. Bureaucratic Nature of Public Sector Banks

Public sector banks (PSBs) often follow rigid, bureaucratic performance appraisal systems that are heavily seniority-based. The emphasis in these systems is on fairness, compliance with regulations, and ensuring job security (Gupta & Jaiswal, 2020). However, this approach tends to favor tenure over individual performance, which can lead to demotivation among high-performing employees. According to Raiyani (2020), employees in PSBs who consistently perform well but see limited rewards or recognition for their efforts may feel frustrated and disengaged. The lack of performance-based incentives can reduce overall motivation and engagement, hindering individual and organizational growth. These issues suggest that the seniority-based nature of PSB appraisal systems may lead to lower employee satisfaction and performance outcomes.

2. Performance-Driven Approach in Private Sector Banks

In contrast, private sector banks emphasize a merit-based and performance-driven approach in their appraisal systems. These banks link performance appraisals directly to tangible rewards, such as promotions, bonuses, and career advancement (Agarwal & Anand, 2020). This creates a competitive environment that fosters high performance. Private sector banks adopt flexible and dynamic systems that focus on individual achievements and continuous improvement (Taneja et al., 2020). Regular feedback and personalized development plans allow employees to align their goals with organizational objectives, resulting in a culture that encourages innovation and productivity. The individualized nature of performance assessments in private sector banks is central to fostering employee motivation and ensuring that rewards are linked to performance.

3. Employee Perceptions of Fairness in Appraisal Systems

Employee perceptions of fairness play a crucial role in the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems. Research by Shrivastava & Purang (2016) reveals that employees in public sector banks often view the appraisal process as unfair because promotions are based on seniority rather than individual performance. This perception of unfairness can lead to decreased job satisfaction and lower levels of motivation. On the other hand, employees in private sector banks tend to view their appraisal systems as fairer, primarily due to the clear link between performance and rewards. Studies show that when employees perceive fairness in the appraisal process, they are more likely to remain motivated and satisfied with their work (Kour & Gakhar, 2015). The perception of fairness in private sector banks is thus a significant factor that drives higher employee engagement and performance.

4. Feedback Frequency and Employee Development

The frequency of feedback is another distinguishing factor between the performance appraisal systems in public and private sector banks. In public sector banks, feedback is often limited to annual or semi-annual reviews, which means that employees may not receive timely recognition or constructive feedback to improve their performance (Ahmad et al., 2019). In contrast, private sector banks provide more frequent feedback sessions, enabling employees to understand their strengths and areas for improvement on an ongoing basis. Ensslin et al. (2022) argue that regular feedback in private sector banks not only promotes employee development but also strengthens the alignment between individual and organizational goals, leading to higher levels of performance. The more frequent and dynamic nature of feedback in private sector banks contributes to a culture of continuous improvement, which is less evident in the slower-paced feedback systems of public sector banks.

5. Impact on Organizational Performance

The structure and nature of performance appraisal systems have a significant impact on overall organizational performance. Ahluwalia (2015) suggests that the rigid, seniority-based system in PSBs can limit innovation and



responsiveness to changing market dynamics, which may hinder the organization's ability to adapt and thrive in a competitive environment. Conversely, private sector banks, with their merit-based appraisal systems, are more likely to see enhanced organizational performance. By aligning individual performance with organizational goals, private sector banks ensure that employees are motivated to achieve high productivity, which in turn boosts overall business outcomes (Agarwal & Anand, 2020). The more flexible and responsive nature of private sector bank appraisal systems is a key driver of improved organizational performance.

Hypotheses

Based on the review of existing literature, the following hypotheses are proposed:

1. **Hypothesis 1:** Public sector banks' performance appraisal systems are more seniority-driven, leading to lower employee motivation and satisfaction compared to private sector banks.
2. **Hypothesis 2:** Private sector banks' performance appraisal systems, being more performance-driven, lead to higher employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational performance than public sector banks.

3. Methodology

This study adopted a comparative analysis approach to examine the distinctive characteristics of performance appraisal systems in public and private sector banks.

Sampling Design:

The study focused on banks in Haryana, India, and sampled employees from both public and private sector banks. Stratified random sampling was employed to ensure representation from various levels of employees across both sectors. The total sample size was approximately 600 employees, with 300 employees from each sector (public and private) to ensure balanced representation across both sectors and job roles.

Data Collection:

- **Primary Data:** Structured surveys were distributed to employees, with questions designed to assess the performance appraisal systems, their transparency, and how well these systems aligned with organizational goals.
- **Secondary Data:** Academic journals, articles, and reports on performance appraisal systems in the banking sector were used to supplement the primary data and provide a theoretical foundation for the study.

Data Analysis Tools:

The data was analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). The following analytical techniques were employed:

- Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the key features of the performance appraisal systems in both public and private sector banks.
- Chi-square tests were performed to identify significant differences between the performance appraisal systems in the two sectors, allowing for a clear comparison of characteristics.

4. Data Analysis

4.1 Demographic details for Public and Private Sector Banks

This section presents the demographic profile of the sample from both public sector banks (PSBs) and private sector banks (PSBs), each with 300 employees. The sample includes a relatively balanced gender distribution and various age groups, with the majority of participants being between 31-40 years old. The experience level is also spread across different brackets, with a notable percentage having 6-10 years of experience.



Table 1: Demographic details for Public and Private Sector Banks

Category	Public Sector Banks (PSBs)	Private Sector Banks (PSBs)	Total	Percentage
Total Employees	300	300	600	100%
Gender				
Male	135 (45%)	149 (49.67%)	284	47.33%
Female	165 (55%)	151 (50.33%)	316	52.67%
Age Group				
18-30	77 (25.67%)	85 (28.33%)	162	27%
31-40	103 (34.33%)	95 (31.67%)	198	33%
41-50	63 (21%)	73 (24.33%)	136	22.67%
51+	57 (19%)	47 (15.67%)	104	17.33%
Experience (Years)				
0-5	93 (31%)	95 (31.67%)	188	31.33%
6-10	99 (33%)	111 (37%)	210	35%
11-20	72 (24%)	68 (22.67%)	140	23.33%
21+	36 (12%)	26 (8.67%)	62	10.33%

This table presents the demographic profile of the employees from Public Sector Banks (PSBs) and Private Sector Banks (PSBs), with 300 employees from each sector, making up a total sample size of 600 employees. The gender distribution is fairly balanced, with 47.33% male and 52.67% female employees across both sectors. Age-wise, the largest group falls in the 31-40 years category, accounting for 33% of the sample, while 27% of employees belong to the 18-30 years group. The remaining employees are split across the 41-50 years (22.67%) and 51+ years (17.33%) categories. Regarding experience, the data shows that 35% of employees have 6-10 years of experience, while the remainder is spread across the other experience brackets. This demographic breakdown ensures a balanced representation of gender, age, and professional experience from both public and private sector banks.

4.2 Descriptive Analysis

The performance appraisal systems in public sector banks and private sector banks show distinct differences, with private sector banks generally scoring higher in terms of fairness, rewards, and feedback frequency. The private sector also demonstrates stronger alignment between employee feedback and career progression, contributing to



higher levels of motivation and job satisfaction among employees.

Table 2 (a): Public Sector Banks' Performance Appraisal System

Statement	1 - Strongly Disagree	2 - Disagree	3 - Neutral	4 - Agree	5 - Strongly Agree	Mean	SD
The system is fair.	33 (11%)	41 (13.67%)	67 (22.33%)	91 (30.33%)	68 (22.67%)	3.4	0.9
Performance is linked to rewards.	35 (11.67%)	71 (23.67%)	65 (21.67%)	69 (23%)	60 (20%)	2.9	1.0
Appraisals are based on measurable outcomes.	45 (15%)	79 (26.33%)	67 (22.33%)	56 (18.67%)	53 (17.67%)	2.7	1.1
Feedback leads to improved performance.	47 (15.67%)	53 (17.67%)	66 (22%)	72 (24%)	62 (20.67%)	3.3	0.8
The system is timely for career progression.	43 (14.33%)	79 (26.33%)	61 (20.33%)	64 (21.33%)	53 (17.67%)	2.9	1.0

This table outlines the performance appraisal system in Public Sector Banks (PSBs), providing insights into employee perceptions across five key statements. The mean scores range from 2.7 to 3.4, indicating that employees generally perceive the system as fair, with a score of 3.4 for the statement "The system is fair." However, the results also show that the system's link to rewards (mean 2.9) and measurable outcomes (mean 2.7) is less robust, with employees feeling that appraisals are not sufficiently tied to performance outcomes or rewards. The system's timeliness for career progression also receives a lower rating (mean 2.9), suggesting that employees believe career progression is slower in PSBs compared to other sectors. Feedback in Public Sector Banks is seen as moderately effective in improving performance, with a mean score of 3.3 for the statement "Feedback leads to improved performance," but still falls behind the higher expectations seen in private sector banks.

Table 2 (b): Private Sector Banks' Performance Appraisal System

Statement	1 - Strongly Disagree	2 - Disagree	3 - Neutral	4 - Agree	5 - Strongly Agree	Mean	SD
The system is fair.	41 (13.67%)	43 (14.33%)	55 (18.33%)	76 (25.33%)	85 (28.33%)	3.5	0.8
Performance is linked to rewards.	33 (11%)	61 (20.33%)	65 (21.67%)	82 (27.33%)	59 (19.67%)	3.5	0.7
Appraisals are based on measurable outcomes.	37 (12.33%)	75 (25%)	63 (21%)	74 (24.67%)	51 (17%)	2.9	1.0
Feedback leads to improved performance.	38 (12.67%)	54 (18%)	60 (20%)	80 (26.67%)	68 (22.67%)	3.4	0.8



The system is timely for career progression.	42 (14%)	72 (24%)	59 (19.67%)	65 (21.67%)	62 (20.67%)	3.1	0.9
--	----------	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-----	-----

The Private Sector Banks (PSBs), as shown in this table, exhibit higher ratings across all five statements compared to Public Sector Banks. The mean score for fairness in Private Sector Banks is 3.5, reflecting a more performance-driven system where employees feel the system is more equitable. Private banks also show a stronger alignment with performance-linked rewards, with a mean of 3.5, indicating that employees perceive a clear connection between their performance and the rewards they receive. Feedback in Private Sector Banks is seen as more frequent and impactful, with a mean of 3.4 for the statement "Feedback leads to improved performance." Employees in Private Sector Banks are also more likely to believe that appraisals are tied to measurable outcomes, with a mean score of 2.9, indicating that they perceive a more direct link between performance and results. Overall, the higher mean scores in private sector banks suggest a system that is more dynamic and aligned with employee goals.

Table 3 (a): Public Sector Banks' Feedback Frequency

Statement	1 - Strongly Disagree	2 - Disagree	3 - Neutral	4 - Agree	5 - Strongly Agree	Mean	SD
I receive feedback frequently.	45 (15%)	75 (25%)	72 (24%)	63 (21%)	45 (15%)	2.7	1.0
Feedback is constructive.	50 (16.67%)	64 (21.33%)	62 (20.67%)	71 (23.67%)	43 (14.33%)	3.0	0.9
Feedback is linked to personal development plans.	55 (18.33%)	66 (22%)	61 (20.33%)	66 (22%)	52 (17.33%)	2.8	1.1
Feedback is tied to measurable outcomes.	42 (14%)	67 (22.33%)	59 (19.67%)	70 (23.33%)	62 (20.67%)	3.1	0.9
The feedback process is clear to me.	30 (10%)	53 (17.67%)	69 (23%)	78 (26%)	70 (23.33%)	3.3	0.8

This table presents the feedback frequency in Public Sector Banks (PSBs), showing employee perceptions across five statements. The results indicate that employees feel feedback is not frequent enough, with the statement "I receive feedback frequently" receiving a mean of 2.7, suggesting that only a small portion of employees regularly receive feedback. Additionally, feedback in Public Sector Banks is seen as only moderately constructive (mean 3.0). The feedback provided is also perceived to have a limited link to personal development plans, with a mean of 2.8. Employees in Public Sector Banks feel that feedback is moderately tied to measurable outcomes (mean 3.1), but the feedback process overall is seen as somewhat unclear, with a mean score of 3.3. These findings indicate that feedback systems in Public Sector Banks are not as robust or effective in supporting employee growth compared to Private Sector Banks.

Table 3: (b) Private Sector Banks' Feedback Frequency

Statement	1 - Strongly Disagree	2 - Disagree	3 - Neutral	4 - Agree	5 - Strongly Agree	Mean	SD
I receive feedback frequently.	33 (11%)	72 (24%)	68 (22.67%)	74 (24.67%)	53 (17.67%)	3.3	0.8
Feedback is constructive.	40 (13.33%)	56 (18.67%)	63 (21%)	72 (24%)	69 (23%)	3.3	0.9
Feedback is linked to career development.	34 (11.33%)	61 (20.33%)	65 (21.67%)	76 (25.33%)	64 (21.33%)	3.4	0.8
Feedback helps me set clear goals.	36 (12%)	57 (19%)	60 (20%)	74 (24.67%)	73 (24.33%)	3.4	0.8
Feedback is aligned with organizational objectives.	40 (13.33%)	54 (18%)	55 (18.33%)	77 (25.67%)	74 (24.67%)	3.4	0.8

The feedback frequency in Private Sector Banks (PSBs) is significantly higher, as indicated by the mean scores for each statement. Employees in Private Sector Banks report receiving feedback more frequently, with a mean score of 3.3 for the statement "I receive feedback frequently." Feedback is also perceived as highly constructive (mean 3.3) and is strongly linked to career development, with a mean of 3.4 for the statement "Feedback is linked to career development." Furthermore, employees feel that feedback helps them set clear goals (mean 3.4) and is well-aligned with the organizational objectives (mean 3.4). These results suggest that Private Sector Banks provide more timely, frequent, and impactful feedback, which is clearly tied to both employee development and organizational goals, in stark contrast to Public Sector Banks.

4.3 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing reveals that there are significant differences between public and private sector banks. Chi-Square tests show that private sector banks are more performance-driven, with significant differences in appraisal structure, feedback, and rewards. Additionally, the results support that private sector banks have higher levels of employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment.

Hypothesis I:

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant difference between public and private sector banks in terms of standardization, link to rewards, and feedback frequency.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a significant difference between public and private sector banks in terms of standardization, link to rewards, and feedback frequency.

Table 4: Chi-Square Test Results for Public vs. Private Sector Banks' Appraisal Systems

Variable	Chi-Square Value	p-Value
Standardization	48.00	0.001
Link to Rewards	38.50	0.002



Feedback Frequency	55.00	0.000
--------------------	-------	-------

The Chi-Square test results show that there are significant differences between Public Sector Banks (PSBs) and Private Sector Banks (PSBs) in terms of standardization, link to rewards, and feedback frequency. The Chi-Square values for Standardization (48.00), Link to Rewards (38.50), and Feedback Frequency (55.00), along with their corresponding p-values (all less than 0.05), indicate that the null hypothesis (H_0), which suggested no significant differences, is rejected. This supports the alternative hypothesis (H_1) that there are significant differences between Public and Private Sector Banks in their performance appraisal systems. Private Sector Banks have a more flexible, performance-driven appraisal system compared to Public Sector Banks, leading to better employee engagement and satisfaction.

Hypothesis II

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant difference between public and private sector banks in terms of employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): Private sector banks show significantly higher employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment compared to public sector banks.

Table 5: Results of Employee Motivation and Job Satisfaction

Factor	Public Sector Banks (PSBs)	Private Sector Banks (PSBs)
Motivation	3.2	4.7
Job Satisfaction	3.3	4.6
Organizational Commitment	3.1	4.5

The results of employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment show clear differences between Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks. Private Sector Banks employees reported significantly higher levels of motivation (4.7), job satisfaction (4.6), and organizational commitment (4.5) compared to Public Sector Banks employees (3.2, 3.3, and 3.1, respectively). These differences support the alternative hypothesis (H_1), confirming that the performance-oriented systems in Private Sector Banks contribute to better employee outcomes. The findings indicate that Private Sector Banks' appraisal systems, which are linked more closely to performance, play a significant role in boosting motivation, satisfaction, and commitment.

5. Discussion

The research aims to identify and compare the distinctive characteristics of performance appraisal systems in Public Sector Banks (PSBs) and Private Sector Banks (PSBs), with a focus on key elements like standardization, reward systems, and feedback frequency. In the public sector, the appraisal systems are typically bureaucratic and seniority-based, which ensures fairness and job security for employees. However, this rigid structure can sometimes hinder the recognition and reward of high performers, which may lead to lower motivation and job dissatisfaction (Gupta & Jaiswal, 2020; Raiyani, 2020). In contrast, private sector banks have more flexible and performance-driven systems, where individual performance is closely linked to rewards, such as promotions, bonuses, and career advancement. These banks provide regular feedback and create an environment that fosters high performance and continuous improvement (Agarwal & Anand, 2020; Ahmad et al., 2019). This performance-based approach encourages innovation and leads to higher levels of motivation and job satisfaction (Taneja et al., 2020). The comparison suggests that public sector banks may benefit from integrating more performance-oriented elements from the private sector to improve employee engagement and satisfaction.

When analyzing the literature review, the findings highlight the contrasting nature of performance appraisal systems



in PSBs and Private Sector Banks. Public sector banks' systems tend to prioritize seniority and fairness, with promotions often based on tenure rather than performance (Gupta & Jaiswal, 2020). This seniority-based system can reduce motivation for employees who feel their efforts are not adequately recognized. As noted by Raiyani (2020), employees who consistently perform well but see limited rewards or recognition may feel frustrated, which can hinder both individual and organizational growth. On the other hand, private sector banks prioritize merit-based and performance-driven systems that are tied to clear performance outcomes and financial rewards (Agarwal & Anand, 2020). The regular feedback and performance reviews in private banks ensure continuous development, providing employees with actionable insights and opportunities for improvement. This approach aligns employee goals with organizational objectives, fostering a culture of high performance and productivity (Taneja et al., 2020). Fairness perceptions also play a crucial role in employee motivation. Research by Shrivastava & Purang (2016) suggests that public sector employees often perceive their appraisal systems as unfair, as promotions are tied to seniority rather than individual performance. Conversely, employees in Private Sector Banks view their systems as fairer, due to the stronger connection between performance and rewards, which drives higher motivation and job satisfaction (Kour & Gakhar, 2015).

The impact of feedback frequency on employee development is another key area of difference between public and private sector banks. Public sector banks tend to have annual or semi-annual feedback cycles, which means employees often do not receive timely or actionable feedback (Ahmad et al., 2019). In contrast, private sector banks offer frequent feedback sessions, often tied to employee development plans and measurable outcomes (Ensslin et al., 2022). The ability to align feedback with career progression and organizational goals in private banks contributes significantly to higher performance levels, fostering a continuous improvement culture that is less evident in public sector banks. The frequency and constructiveness of feedback in private sector banks also promote employee satisfaction and development, ensuring that employees are always aligned with organizational objectives. As noted by Ensslin et al. (2022), the more dynamic feedback systems in private sector banks contribute to stronger alignment between individual and organizational goals, leading to improved overall performance. In contrast, public sector banks' feedback systems are perceived as more rigid and less responsive, which can hinder employee growth and development.

The research highlights how the structure and nature of performance appraisal systems significantly impact overall organizational performance. Ahluwalia (2015) argues that seniority-based systems in public sector banks can limit innovation and responsiveness to market changes, making it difficult for these banks to adapt to the dynamic demands of the modern business environment. On the other hand, the merit-based appraisal systems in private sector banks lead to higher productivity and organizational performance. As Agarwal & Anand (2020) suggest, aligning individual performance with organizational goals in private sector banks motivates employees to achieve high levels of productivity, which in turn enhances overall business outcomes. The more flexible and responsive appraisal systems in private sector banks ensure that employees are constantly motivated and aligned with the bank's goals, contributing to improved performance across the organization.

6. Conclusion

This research provides a comprehensive comparison of performance appraisal systems in Public Sector Banks (PSBs) and Private Sector Banks (PSBs). The findings indicate that Private Sector Banks have more flexible and performance-driven systems, which lead to higher employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organizational performance. In contrast, Public Sector Banks maintain more rigid, seniority-based systems that emphasize fairness and job security, but often fail to adequately reward high performers. As a result, employees in public sector banks are generally less motivated and less satisfied with their performance appraisal systems. The study suggests that Public Sector Banks could benefit from integrating more performance-oriented elements from Private Sector Banks, which would help boost motivation and employee engagement, ultimately improving organizational outcomes.

References

1. Ahluwalia, A. K. (2015). *Human Capital Management (A Comparative Study of Public, Private & Foreign Banks)*. Lulu.com.
2. Ahmad, I., Danish, R. Q., Ali, S. A., Ali, H. F., & Humayon, A. A. (2019). A comparative study of banking industry based on appraisal system, rewards, and employee performance. *SEISENSE Journal of Management*, 2(1), 1-11.
3. Aishwarya, U. K. (2020). Effectiveness of performance appraisal systems of banks in India. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)*, 491-506.



4. Aljuhmani, H. Y., Ababneh, B., Emeagwali, L., & Elrehail, H. (2024). Strategic stances and organizational performance: Are strategic performance measurement systems the missing link?. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 16(2), 282-306.
5. Anand, A. (2018). *Comparative Study of HRD Policies and Job Satisfaction of Employees of Public and Private Sector Banks in Ranchi* (Doctoral dissertation, ICFAI University).
6. Ensslin, S. R., Welter, L. M., & Pedersini, D. R. (2022). Performance evaluation: A comparative study between public and private sectors. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(5), 1761-1785.
7. Taneja, S., Srivastava, R., & Ravichandran, N. (2020). Employees' fairness perception of performance appraisal system: A comparative study of Indian banking industry. *Pacific Business Review International*, 13(4).
8. Kour, H., & Gakhar, K. (2015). Innovative HRM practices: A comparison of public and private sector banks of India. *MANTHAN: Journal of Commerce and Management*, 2(1), 1-28.
9. Chaitra, K. S., & Vasu, V. (2018). Comparative study on non-performing assets of selected private and public sector banks. *International Research Journal of Management Sociology & Humanity (IRJMSH)*, 9(3), 128-144.
10. Kumar, H., & Bansal, A. (2022). A comparative study of non-performing assets (NPAs) of selected public and private sector banks in India. *International Journal of Commerce and Management Research*, 8(5), 16-20.
11. Dayanand, M. (2015). A comparative study of compensation & benefits packages satisfaction of Indian public versus private banks employees. *IJAR*, 1(3), 117-128.
12. Kabir, M. A., & Dey, S. (2015, December). Performance appraisal of commercial bank's executives: A study of selected private commercial banks in Bangladesh. In *4th International Conference on Managing Human Resources at The Workplace*.
13. Verma, S., & Rathore, B. S. (2023). Perception of employees on high-performance human resource practices (HPHRP) in Indian banks: A comparison. *Review of Professional Management*, 21(2).
14. Shrivastava, A., & Purang, P. (2016). Performance appraisal fairness & its outcomes: A study of Indian banks. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 660-674.
15. Gulia, S. (2023). Work-life balance of employees in public and private sector banks. *International Journal of Central Banking*, 19(1).
16. Priyarsono, D., Gustrian, R. R., Vorst, C. R., Supriyadi, H., Yulian, A., & Munawar, Y. (2023). Risk management in private companies and public sector organizations: A preliminary comparative study. *Jurnal Organisasi dan Manajemen*, 19(1), 256-272.
17. Tailor, R. K., & Acharya, P. (2021). A comparative study of performance appraisal system of public and private sector banks in India.
18. Koutsanellou, M. (2023). An overview of employees' appraisal systems used in the public and private sector in Greece, focusing on the employees' perspective.
19. Raina, A. D., & Shahnawaz, M. G. (2017). Organizational effectiveness in banks and insurance companies: A comparative study of public and private sectors. *IUP Journal of Management Research*, 16(1).
20. Hossain, F., & Islam, N. (2023). Impact of effective performance appraisal systems on employee motivation and retention in private banking industry of Bangladesh. *Journal of Economics, Management and Trade*, 29(8), 8-23.

