CULTURAL IDENTITY AND THE INFLUENCE OF COLONIALISM IN AMITAV GHOSH'S AND BAPSI SIDHWA'S NARRATIVES

[1]Swarupa Chakraborti, [2]Dr Naresh Kumar
[1]Research Scholar, [2]Supervisor
[1-2] Department of English, Arunodaya University, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India

Abstract:

This paper explores the complex interplay of cultural identity and the influence of colonialism in the works of Amitav Ghosh and Bapsi Sidhwa, two prominent postcolonial writers. Through their narratives, both authors interrogate the effects of British colonialism on the identities of individuals and communities in South Asia. While Ghosh's *The Glass Palace* spans over multiple countries and centuries, examining colonialism's far-reaching impact on diverse cultures, Sidhwa's *Ice-Candy-Man* (Cracking India) focuses on the immediate aftermath of the Partition and the identity crises faced by the Parsi community. The paper critically analyzes the way these authors present the negotiation of identity in the postcolonial world, especially in the context of diaspora, nationalism, and communal divisions. Drawing on the concept of "diaspora" and postcolonial theories, the paper illustrates how colonialism shaped both individual and collective identities, leading to conflicts, cultural assimilation, and resistance. Through their literary contributions, Ghosh and Sidhwa provide a lens to examine the enduring legacies of colonialism in South Asia.

Keywords:
Cultural Identity, Colonialism, Postcolonialism, Diaspora, Partition, Amitav Ghosh, Bapsi Sidhwa, Nationalism, Identity Crisis, Parsi Community, Colonial Legacy, South Asia.

Introduction:

The enduring legacy of colonialism continues to shape the cultural and national identities of countries and communities that were once part of the British Empire. In the context of South Asia, the partition of India in 1947 and the subsequent formation of India and Pakistan exemplified the complexities of identity formation amidst colonial rule. Authors like Amitav Ghosh and Bapsi Sidhwa have contributed significantly to the postcolonial discourse, offering nuanced perspectives on the intersection of colonialism, cultural identity, and social change in the subcontinent. Ghosh's work, particularly *The Glass Palace*, traces the movement of identities across different cultures and landscapes, from Burma to India to the British Empire. His narrative highlights how colonialism transformed not just the political boundaries but the identities of people and communities. Sidhwa, through *Ice-Candy-Man* (Cracking India), brings a fresh perspective, particularly focusing on the Parsi community's response to the seismic shifts caused by the partition. As a member of the Parsi community herself, Sidhwa portrays the unique position of the Parsis—caught between the colonial past and the new postcolonial realities.

Both Ghosh and Sidhwa reflect on the delicate negotiation of cultural identity that emerged after colonialism, exploring themes of diaspora, nationalism, and survival. Their works provide insight into how colonialism altered the social, cultural, and psychological fabric of the subcontinent, and how individuals and communities attempted to reclaim or redefine their identities in the postcolonial world.

AMITAV GHOSH

"Postcolonialism" has been a phrase that has generated a lot of talk and analysis over the last 20 years. Early critics and the renowned writers of The Empire Writes Back already proposed certain contentious aspects when they attempted to define the word explicitly, and all subsequent efforts to do the same inevitably lead to some broad postulates. It is one of the biggest con jobs in the annals of 20th-century criticism due to the unparalleled dash for it and its desirability. Theoretical frameworks from the fields of philosophy, cinema, politics, and literature are brought together in this intellectual exchange. In response to colonialism's cultural legacies, several ideologies emerged. It is

a branch of literary theory that focuses on works written by people whose nations were once colonies of another, primarily Europe. Many consider Edward Said's 1978 book Orientalism to be a landmark in the discipline. Cultural identity in postcolonial societies, postcolonial struggles with national identity formation, colonizers' use of colonized peoples' knowledge for their own ends, and colonizers' literary justification of colonialism through dehumanizing portrayals of colonized peoples and their cultures are all topics that postcolonial thought explores. Criticisms made by authors such as Mishra and Hodge in their work on the topic of postcolonialism?

According to (1991), the term is written as "postcolonialism" with a hyphen because, without it, the term can be understood as: An ever-present tendency in any literature of subjugation marked by a systematic process of cultural domination through the imposition of imperial power structures. (Hodge 24) The literatures of Third World countries that share certain formal and discursive traits are commonly referred to as Post-colonial literature, often dubbed New English Literature. The imperial "center" (the colonizer, the dominating, or the hegemonic power) is "resisted" and "subverted" by these actions. Writing and reading practices that have their origins in the colonial mindset are referred to in postcolonial discourse as a result of the European conquest and exploitation of 'other' worlds. Three characteristics shared by all Postcolonial literature are highlighted by Bills Ashcroft, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin in their book The Empire Writes Back:

> This narrative actively appropriates the language and culture of the imperial center while simultaneously suppressing and marginalizing the Post-Colonial voice. It also abrogates this imperial center inside the text. (Ashcroft 52)

Stephen Slemon, editor of The Empire Writes Back, disagrees with these critics who define post-colonial "to cover all the culture affected by the imperial process from the moment of colonization to the present day" (Ashcroft 31). An often-occulted legacy into the theater of neocolonialist international relations starts the minute colonial authority inscribes itself the body and space of its Other, and definitions of the 'postcolonial' vary greatly. Specifically, literature from African nations, Bangladesh, Canada, India, and Australia Postcolonial literatures include those of Sri Lanka, South Pacific Island nations, Pakistan, and Malaysia. Aside from their unique regional traits, these literary works share the commonality of having evolved in their current forms as a result of colonization. To assert themselves, these works emphasized their differences from the imperial center's assumptions and the tension with the interior power. This is the hallmark of their post-colonial identity. It is also a criticism of literature that targets works with colonial or racial overtones. Contemporary post-colonial discourse has also been influenced by post-colonial literature in its efforts to criticize it. Its stated goal is to reinterpret the advent of postcolonialism itself. Jamaica Kincaid, Chinua Achebe, Anita Desai, Buchi Emecheta, Amitav Ghosh, Frantz Fanon, V.S. Naipaul, Taslima Nasrin, Arundhati Roy, Salman Rushdie, etc. are among the most renowned figures in postcolonial literature and philosophy.

The word "Diaspora" is central to discussions of postcolonial literature. "Diaspora" is an etymological relative of the Greek words "speiro" (to so) and "dia" (over). The ancient Greeks equated human diaspora with colonialism and migration. The collective pain and expulsion that was symbolized by diaspora meant that people lived in exile while dreaming of returning home. Identification, Community, Culture, and Difference, edited by Lawrence and Wishard, proposes that:

> "The old country"—a concept typically ingrained in language, religion, tradition, or folklore—always has a claim on the devotion and sentimentality of every diasporic community that has moved outside of its birthplace (or imagined natal) regions. (Wishard 57).

In the 1980s, diasporic discourse was also prominently created by the second generation of Indian English writers. This new generation, whether they were born in India or anywhere else, has a self-assured, independent style of speech that is neither apologetic nor mimicking the British model. They speak English with a level of assurance and vivacity that previous generations lacked. Vikram Seth, Salman Rushdie, Amitav Ghosh, and Upamanyu Chatterjee are among the writers who have freed Indian English literature from the oppression of colonialism and exotica. It is considered literature on a global scale due to the accolades received by these authors.

Amitav Ghosh, the most prolific Indian English author of the last generation, wrote a science fiction book in 1996 called The Calcutta Chromosome. Even though the plot has various layers—there are clear dystopian, mystery, and ghost story components—it was named the finest book in its category in 1997 and received the Arthur C. Clarke Award. Ronald Ross's famous work on the malaria parasite is pivotal to the story. The protagonist, a Bengali lady who is neither educated nor wealthy, has an innate understanding of the malaria issue and finds a way to overcome it by effectively treating syphilis with her homemade medicine.

His most recent work, 2004's The Hungry Tide, discusses environmental issues and social movements on a global scale. As the Ganges River empties into the Bay of Bengal, it forms the mangrove deltas known as the Sundarbans, where the events of the book take place. In 1979, the West Bengal government's left Front administration forcibly evicted refugee settlers from the island of Morichjapi, which is central to the plot. Many people's lives converge around one occasion.

The Imam and the Indian (2002) is an anthology of essays covering a variety of topics; Countdown (1992) is a history and anthropology compendium that delves into the Indian Ocean World; Dancing in Cambodia, At Large in Burma (1998) is a compilation of travel pieces; and *In An Antique Land* (1994) is a history and anthropology compendium that details the Indian Ocean World.

Amitav Ghosh aspires, like many other marginalized writers, to restore the lost histories of the underrepresented. In addition to Burma, the historical book *The Glass Palace* (2000) covers India, Malaya, China, Japan, Britain, and the US. It also touches on these countries. The lives of three interconnected families, representing a wide range of ethnicities, are chronicled throughout the book, which spans over eleven decades.

In the first chapters of the book, a young guy named Rajkumar is on the run in Mandalay in search of a lady named Ma Cho. His business energy is vibrant, and he is hungry for success, as he travels from India to Burma. Under the watchful eye of *The Glass Palace*, where the princesses and their parents, King Thibaw and Queen Thibaw, live, Rajkumar works as an aide at Ma Cho's food stand. Ordinary Mandalay residents are granted access to the enshrined building just in time for the British invasion to depose the current ruler. It is at this time that Rajkumar falls head over heels for Dolly, an aide to the princesses. Unfortunately, the British extradite the whole royal family and their entourage without delay and place them under house imprisonment on the western coast of India, hundreds of miles distant.

Rajkumar, meantime, has gone through the trials of the teak trade and seen the epic collaboration between humans and beasts as elephants bring enormous amounts of wood down from the woods to sell in the empire's rapidly growing marketplaces. After meeting his new colleague and buddy Doh Say and taking his advise, the opportunist Rajkumar sets out on his own. He travels to India with money borrowed from Saya John in order to entice impoverished villagers to join the relatively profitable early oil-mining industry in Burma. After amassing enough wealth in this manner, Rajkumar finally fulfills a lifelong ambition by purchasing a timber-yard beside Doh Say.

Rajkumar had established a quite small economic empire, but he still had one unresolved matter: to find Dolly, the one girl he had ever loved. Rajkumar meets the Collector and his wife over a lunch that, of course, strictly adheres to colonial best practice after he uses an Indian link in Rangoon to get in touch with Ratnagiri via Uma. Dolly shows up, much to his astonishment. After some back-and-forth, he manages to convince her to abandon the family that Sha was banished from and return to Burma with him as his wife. The ability to identify the next Rajkumar and Dolly, a little cluster of peculiar elastic material: rubber, is something that Saya John takes great satisfaction in.

According to the narrative, the last Burmese royal family was conveyed from the brilliantly decorated royal enclave in Mandalay to the "Glass Palace" in 1885 by the British. Along with the monarch and queen, the faithful orphan daughter Dolly sets off from *The Glass Palace*. The royal family takes Dolly, who might be of Shan origin, into exile in India. In 1906, she weds

Rajkumar, an exiled Bengali businessman she had two short meetings with in 1885. Saya John is a Hindustani-speaking Malayan-Chinese businessman who helps them out. Years later, in war-torn Malaya, Rajkumar and Dolly's younger son fall in love with his Euro-American-Hakka granddaughter. Uma, a freed Calcutta-born wife of the British Indian district collector tasked with caring for the exiled royal family, is another contributor. Rajkumar and Dolly's eldest son weds Uma's niece, who goes on to fight for India's freedom. A captivating and multi-layered narrative unfolds in this book, encompassing the traditions of the Burmese royal family and daily life in Indian exile, teak harvesting in Burma, rubber planting in Malaya, war in Southeast Asia, India's independence, and the democracy movement in Burma, interwoven with events in Britain and the United States.

The Struggle for Personality in the Face of Stereotypes

An epic tale of love, family, vast history, and the malleability of power, *The Glass Palace* is sure to captivate readers. Melodrama predominates over literary fiction in this work. Throughout its one hundred years of coverage, the book touches on a wide range of topics, including the teak industry, the ethics of imperialism, the short and long term impacts of colonialism, and the experiences of those who felt both powerful and helpless.

Near the Royal Palace in Mandalay, Rajkumar tends to a food stand. The result of the recent battles with the British is something he eagerly awaits word of inside the court. Their ministers inform them of great successes, but they soon see the coming of impersonal Indian warriors moving in formation and hear the sound of cannons. A great part of the novel takes place in 1885, the year the British seized Burma from its monarchy and incorporated it into their empire. The abrupt change of power from the court to the British is described in this paragraph. Everything was neat and tidy, yet the realities of power were obvious:

Here is how authority is overshadowed: At the precise time that the world breaks free of its dream moorings and exposes itself to be girded in the paths of self-preservation and survival, there is a striking reality that occurs between the fading of one vision of control and its replacement by another. Ajkumar's journey from Malaya to the teak business provides intriguing glimpses into the customs and perils of teak manufacturing in the 19th century. Simultaneously, we accompany the court as they go into exile to Ratnagiri, a remote village in India with a beautiful view but no other amenities. Brilliant and ambitious from birth, Rajkumar is an entrepreneur. Dolly, one of the queen's handmaidens, is now residing with the exiled monarchs in Ratnagiri; he had an instant crush on her as the royal family departed the palace; this was his only sadness, second only to the deaths of his parents. Beautiful, smart, and patient—that is Dolly. Though it should come as no surprise, Rajkumar has no idea when he will see Dolly again.

Another notable figure in Ratnagiri is the Collector, a man of Indian descent who has achieved remarkable success for someone of his race in the British-run Indian Administrative Service. The Collector, who attended Oxford University, considers Britain to be the most civilized country in the world, and he fantasizes of a marriage of equals with his unhappiness bride, Uma, who befriends Dolly. Dolly, Uma, the Collector, and the royal family's interactions serve as a microcosm for a study of how colonizers treat colonized people. The king's efforts to adapt to his shrunken world are frequently thwarted by a paternalistic government that seeks to shield him from responsibility. Colonialism takes up residence in the minds of the colonized as much as it does in their homelands.

BAPSI SIDHWA

No writer can help but reflect the world around him. Inevitably, his writings will mirror the era in which he lives. Every work of fiction, no matter how far-fetched, reflects the values and norms of its period. Because of the inextricable connection between literature and society, literary studies may be seen as a means of delving into the past and present.

Books set during the partition provide a window into the social and political climate of that era, making them suitable for classification as political or socio-political fiction. It is understandable that different writers would present historical events in different ways, have different perspectives on what is true, and approach the truth from different

angles. It is possible for writers to provide vastly different accounts of the same occurrence.

It is possible to reference Hayden White's comments in this regard:

> Historians are the ones who can make the facts speak for themselves. Although historians deal with actual events and novelists with imagined ones, there is a poetic quality to the process of bringing together disparate elements of history, real or imagined, into a coherent whole that may be represented. (125)

There were personal, societal, cultural, religious, economic, and political ramifications to the enormous and momentous event of the Indian subcontinent's partition. Those who were there during those terrible days still feel the effects of what happened. Community conflicts, massacres, and atrocities of many types resulted from the enormous, unplanned migration. There were many abandoned children on each side of the border. It wasn't an earthquake or flood; it was a cold-blooded, premeditated act of cruelty.

Anger and animosity against Hindus among Muslims have their roots in the past. The privileged status that Muslims held ended when the British came to power in 1857, after the capitulation of the Muslim monarchy. The British encouraged Hindus, especially for public office, which stoked tensions between Muslims and Hindus. Muslims in that era had lower levels of education than Hindus, who were generally considered to be better competent. This led to Hindus displacing Muslims in government positions. When compared to Muslims, Hindus fared better in terms of receiving contemporary education and learning English.

Waheed Anand raises an important point:

> Instead of giving authority back to the people they had enslaved, they gave it to those who wanted to become part of their system. (85)

The Muslims' animosity against the Hindus was inevitable given the transfer of governmental authority and riches from Muslims to Hindus. Disagreements over intercaste marriages and eating habits existed even before the civilization was created. The cultural, mental, and dietary disparities between the vegetarian and non-vegetarian groups made these things impossible. The racial divide widened as a result of this. According to Waheed Ahmed,

> "They were despised and distrusted by the subsequent authorities after losing their authority to foreign rulers. (86)

The Muslims' greatest predicament was exacerbated by their poverty and lack of access to contemporary education. Among contemporary Muslims, Sri Syed Ahmed Khan stands alone as an advocate for western education. The Muslims, he said, were faithful subjects of the British crown. Things became worse because of the Simla conflict, the linguistic problem in Uttar Pradesh, and the Partition of the Bengal region.

Additionally, they were alienated by ayanand's Arya Samaj movement. The Muslims felt they did not have a common ground with the Hindus when he called for a return to the Vedas.

Against this backdrop, Gandhi emerged on the political arena. Truth exists in all faiths, in his view, but because adherents are fallible human beings, no faith can be considered flawless. If only Muslims could be better Muslims and Hindus could be better Hindus, was his hope. Both should respect the emotions of other religions, he said.

Gandhi was quoted by Pratibha Jain as stating,

> They don't do anything wrong if they can't stop the killing of cows by the Muaslamans, but they do a terrible sin when they fight like the Muslims to defend the cows. In a similar vein, he stated that Muslims should wait for the Hindus to voluntarily cease playing music in front of mosques rather than trying to force them to stop. (199)

The tensions between the groups grew over time. However, after WWI, things started to look better between Hindus and Muslims.

The issue of communal strife between the two groups may have been resolved if political leaders had used their religious beliefs and ideals to halt the Muslim community.

Opinions of the Partition vary among historians. People in the subcontinent have suffered severe anguish as a result of the political and sectarian divisions that exist there.

The majority of Bapsi Sidhwa's books deal with partition. The *Ice-Candy Man*'s head, torso, and tail are all made of it. It also appears on The Crow Eaters. Among Parsis, Sidhwa is not the pioneering author to go into this territory. Her other works on the subject of Partition include Urdu's Saadat Hasan Manto and Ismat Chugtai, Punjbai's Amrita Pritam, and English's Khushwant Singh, Manohar Malgonkar, Chaman Nahal, and Attia Hosain.

The plight of the Parsi people during Partition is vividly shown in Cracking India or *Ice-Candy Man*. It reflects the Parsi community like a prism.

It is appropriate to consider Subhash Chandra's comment in this context:

> There are several reasons why Ice-Candy Man is so impressive. Bapsi Sidhwa, a Parsi, does not identify with either of the two groups that caused havoc during Partition in India, making her story the first to address the topic from a non-partition perspective. This makes it the second novel by a woman writer to address the topic of Partition in India. (176)

Right from the start, the Parsis had to find a way to escape the difficulty of losing their identity as they merged with an unfamiliar society. All the decades of Parsi assimilation into Indian culture were for nothing when Partition became inevitable. At the outset of the Partition, the whole community was suddenly threatened with extinction. Before the partition, the Parsis had sought to embrace the diversity of Indian culture, but now they were compelled to choose sides. The question of whether to help Muslims, Sikhs, or Hindus remained unanswered.

A impartial, disinterested attitude characterises the Parsis. Their absolute objectivity stems from the fact that they are unaffected by the societal, political, or economic ramifications. Sidhwa exemplifies how far humans have come.

Sidhwa stands out because she did not belong to the Hindu, Muslim, or Sikh communities—the three largest groups affected by Partition. Parsis, of which she is a member, have a long history of devotion to monarchs. Even after the majority of Pakistan's Hindus and Sikhs and India's Muslims departed, the Parsis stayed behind in both countries. Sidhwa portrays their apathetic stance in her works.

She suggests that there is another side to the Parsi community's neutrality during the Partition, which is that they might have participated in the liberation movement in whatever manner they wanted. According to M.F. Salat, who confirms this, Sidhwa challenges conventional wisdom by highlighting the unspoken but constructive contributions made by Lenny's parents to the betterment of Hindus and Muslims alike. (102)

The narrative is told through the eyes of Lenny, a Parsi girl of seven years old, who is pure, naive, and gifted

intellectually. A first-person narrator, she takes front stage. The plot revolves on Lenny's awakening experience set against the backdrop of Partition. Polio victim Bapsi Sidhwa, like Lenny, was a girl during Partition. *Note from Twinkle* B. Manavar,

> The story of Lenny the crippled kid is both personal and transformative. How the Parsi identity will adapt to the political shifts is another subject that Sidhwa addresses in this book. (27)

Partition makes Lenny both a witness and a sufferer.

The Parsi dilemma of being loyal to the British Raj or supporting "Swaraj" is delightfully depicted by Bapsi Sidhwa in this book. At their temple hall on Warris Road, the Parsis of Lahore gather for a special gathering. As resentment and animosity rise, the political discourse gets heated. What makes this gathering intriguing is how it conveys the Parsis's nervousness, which stems from their fear of their place in society after the British leave rather than from communal animosity. Recently, there has been a noticeable decline in the Parsis' devotion to the colonial rule. British colonisers are to responsible for polio's arrival in India, according to Col. Barucha and Lenny's dad.

It is well-known that the Parsis are devoted to the British. This devotion originates from the belief held by Zoroastrians. Their devoted attitude helps them keep the peace between the state and their community. Religious freedom and safety were the only things the Parsi community sought from the British government. The British Raj gave them both, just as they had anticipated. Their allegiance to the British was also driven by economic considerations. Under the British government, they managed to establish themselves as a privileged few. The majority of Parsis held the view that various forms of misery would accompany the British departure.

The leader of Lahore's Parsi community, Col. Barucha, has issued a warning to his fellow citizens, urging them to reject Mahatma Gandhi's anti-colonial and nationalist struggle. He states unequivocally that under 'Home Rule,' the two largest populations in India, the Hindus and the Muslims, would rise to power and prominence. "Hindus and Muslims and even the Sikhs are going to jockey for power and if you jokers jump into the middle, you will be mangled into chutney" In the 36th minute,

He is concerned that certain Parsis in Lahore would upset the British. The speaker urges the Lahore Parsi population to remain at home and avoid getting into trouble, expressing his hope that no one would be foolish enough to do so (IM 37). He maintains his stance by saying:

> The direction of the wind is a mystery to everyone. The number of new countries may go up to three instead of only one. If we get into a fight with the Hindus, they will convert as if by sword, and the Parsis won't think twice before jumping to the wrong side. And may we be blessed if we encounter Sikhs (IM 37)

Those who belong to the Parsi faith in Lahore accept his statements at face value. He thinks the Parsis of Lahore should vote for whomever controls the city. He strongly suggests that they stay in Lahore no matter what. "Let whoever wishes to rule: Hindu, Muslim, Sikh, Christian!" he adds to his earlier statement. We will follow the laws of their nation. (Ref 40)

At the community meal, Col. Barucha made several declarations that, in the pre-partition environment, reflected the sentiment of the majority of the Parsi community. In the midst of the religious tensions, Lenny had an epiphany via his words. The Parsis are so ingrained in Indian culture and identity that they just cannot fathom leaving the country. They were afraid that the day the British left would mark the beginning of their fight for survival as a community.

On the other hand, Dr. Mody begs the Parsis to join the independence movement since "our neighbours will

think we are betraying them and siding with the English." IM 37 At the suggestion of banker Toddywalla, they decide to stick to their tried and true method of adjusting and compromising - "to run with the hounds and hunt with the hare." They "as long as we conduct our lives quietly, as long as we present no threat to anybody we will prosper right here" (IM 37), meaning they will support the ruling community in the case of Partition.

Some Parsis are still on the fence about settling in Karachi or Lahore for fear of becoming citizens of the Muslim nation of Pakistan. The assurance that "We prospered under the Muslim Mughals, didn't we" (IM 40) was also given to them.

Since they were subjects of the English king, many people thought they could just go to London instead of Bombay. Even the most Anglophilic Parsis disapproved of this proposal when they asked, "And what do we do when the English King's Vazir comes to us with a glass full of milk?" Could you please let him know that we are brown Englishmen who have come to add a little colour to their lives? IM, forty

Finally, amid the ongoing power struggle among India's three largest religious groups, the Parsis have opted to remain neutral. Thirty miles east of Lahore, in the Muslim hamlet of Pir Pindo, Lenny gets her first taste of country life. She walks into a gathering where Muslims of Pir Pindo village and Sikhs from the next hamlet are seated and talking about city-wide community relations. Our communities have a same ancestry, my brother. The Sikhs and Muslims among us are essentially pits. We are the same blood. We can't fight each other, can we? fifty minutes. The profound religious concord in Pir Pindo and the surrounding villages is shown by these remarks of Jagjeet Singh, a Sikh priest.

Conclusion:

The exploration of cultural identity and colonial influence in the works of Amitav Ghosh and Bapsi Sidhwa reveals the deep scars left by colonial rule in the subcontinent. Ghosh's *The Glass Palace* and Sidhwa's *Ice-Candy-Man* serve as literary testimonies to the complex processes of identity formation in a postcolonial context. Both authors delve into how colonialism disrupted traditional ways of life, forced cultural assimilation, and created new fault lines within societies that would eventually manifest in the violence of Partition. While Ghosh's narratives reflect the global movement of identities across empires and borders, Sidhwa's work focuses on the specific historical moment of Partition, where the fragile threads of identity and loyalty were tested. Through their works, both authors emphasize the challenges of reconciling a colonial past with a postcolonial present. Their portrayals of cultural assimilation, resistance, and survival speak to the broader struggles faced by colonized peoples in reclaiming their history, their voice, and their place in the world. In conclusion, the cultural identities forged in the crucible of colonialism are fraught with tensions that continue to shape the collective and individual lives of those who lived through it. By analyzing Ghosh and Sidhwa, we gain a deeper understanding of how colonialism not only reshaped physical territories but also had profound psychological and cultural effects that resonate long after the colonial powers have left.

References

Chandra, Vikram. "The Cult Of Authenticity." *Boston Review* February-March 2000.

Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth.* Constance Farrington 1963. New York: Grove Press, 1965.

Ghosh, Amitav. *The Glass Palace.* Harper Collins, 2000.

Jussawalla, Adil J. ed. *New Writing in India(n?) Family Quarrels: Towards A Criticism of Indian Writing in English.* New York: Press. Lang, 1985.

King, Robert D. *Nehru and the Language Politics of India.* New York: Oxford University Press, 1997.

Krishnaswamy, N. and Archana S. Burde. *The Politics of Indian's English.* Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1998.

Mishra and Bob Hodge. *What is post (-) colonialism? Textual Practice,* 1991.

Tyabji, Lalida. "Splintered Loyalties/Cultural Divides", *The Book Review*, XVIII, 9 September, 1994. Print.

Upadhyay, Purvi. N. "Parsi community in Bapsi Sidhwa's *The Crow Eaters*, "Parsi Fiction, Vol. II, (ed.) Novy Kabadia and R.K. Dhawan, New Delhi, Prestige Books, 2001, Print.

Visaria, Leela, as quoted in **Shankar Bose and Ava Khullar,** *A Socio-Economic Survey of Parsi of Delhi*, Delhi, Centre for the study of Developing Societies, 1978, Print.

White, Hayden. *Topics of Discourse: Essays in Cultural Criticism*, Balimore and London, John Hopkinis University Press, 1982, Print.