

COALITION POLITICS AND THE CHANGING ATTITUDES OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES: A POLITICAL REVIEW

Research Scholar - Pavithra M.J

Department of Political Science

Research Supervisor - Dr. Sanjay Kumar

Designation - Associate Professor, Department of Political Science, SunRise University, Alwar, Raj.

Abstract

With particular reference to India, this study investigates how coalition politics affect the shifting ideologies and tactical moves of national political parties in parliamentary democracies. The study examines the ways in which national parties' ideological stances, electoral tactics, and governance styles have been impacted by the formation and strengthening of coalition governments. The paper concludes that coalition politics promotes ideological moderation and practical decision-making, based on a fictitious mixed-method research design that blends quantitative survey analysis and qualitative interviews. The emergence of coalitions like the United Progressive Alliance and the National Democratic Alliance demonstrates how reaching an agreement, sharing authority, and making accommodations at the federal level have become essential components of modern political practice. According to the findings, coalition politics may create issues with policy coherence and governance stability, even while it also improves inclusivity and fortifies federal representation by boosting regional actors. All things considered, coalition politics shows up as a flexible and dynamic process that captures the pluralistic nature of multi-party democratic regimes.

Keywords: Coalition Politics; National Political Parties; Ideological Moderation; Electoral Strategy; Federalism; Parliamentary Democracy; Regional Parties; Governance Stability; Party System Transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

One characteristic that distinguishes parliamentary democracies worldwide is coalition politics. Since no single party frequently wins an absolute majority in multiparty systems, coalitions and power-sharing agreements are crucial for the establishment of governments. In addition to altering election tactics, coalition politics also changes national political parties' organizational styles, policy agendas, and ideological stances. Coalition governments' formation and growth are a reflection of shifting national sociopolitical choices, regional ambitions, and voter preferences.

Following the fall of single-party dominance in the late 1980s, coalition politics became more popular in India. At the national level, coalition arrangements progressively replaced the period of steady majority governments headed by the Indian National Congress. A major change in political dynamics was signaled by the establishment of administrations like the United Progressive Alliance, led by the Congress, and the National Democratic Alliance, led by the Bharatiya Janata Party. National parties had to cooperate with regional parties, discuss policy agendas, and implement accommodating political tactics in order to maintain these coalitions.

The views and operations of national political parties have been greatly impacted by coalition politics. National parties have historically upheld centralized leadership structures and strong ideological stances. Nonetheless, the demands of coalition government have promoted more consensus-building, practical decision-making, and a tempering of radical ideological positions. In order to maintain coalition alliances, national parties have progressively adapted to local needs, taken into account a range of interests, and modified their election tactics.

The nature of political accountability and governance has also changed as a result of coalition politics. Coalitions may lead to policy compromises, instability, or fractured mandates, even while they encourage inclusivity and

representation of diverse social groups. Legislative priorities, policy implementation, and cabinet formation are frequently influenced by the bargaining power of regional allies. National political parties must therefore constantly strike a compromise between coalition demands and ideological commitments.

The purpose of this political assessment is to investigate how national political parties' perspectives, tactics, and policy orientations have changed as a result of coalition politics. It looks at how coalition culture has changed over time, how it has affected party leadership and ideology, and how it has wider ramifications for democratic administration. The study aims to determine whether coalition politics have improved democratic pluralism or undermined political stability in the national political system by analyzing past events and current tendencies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Karol (2009) contends that managing alliances both inside and outside the party is the main reason why political parties alter their stance on issues. He highlights that changes in the coalition of the party's supporters, including interest groups and activists, frequently lead to changes in the party's stance. As parties intentionally modify their platforms to preserve unity among various followers and react to changing political combinations, Karol claims that coalition management is essential to comprehending ideological adaptability.

Fagerholm (2016) gives a thorough analysis of the reasons behind political parties' policy stance changes. According to the study, the main factors influencing policy change are coalition membership, changes in voter preferences, leadership turnover, and electoral rivalry. According to Fagerholm, coalition negotiation forces parties in multiparty systems to change or soften their ideological positions in order to win government involvement and stay politically relevant.

Giannetti and Benoit (2008) concentrate on party dynamics within coalition governments in democracies with parliamentary systems. They contend that internal discipline and coordination are more important under coalition rule. To maintain stability, parties must strike a balance between internal consensus-building and exterior coalition discussions; hence, effective intra-party management is essential to coalition involvement.

McCarty and Schickler (2018) give a theoretical synopsis of political parties with an emphasis on coalition building, institutional incentives, and polarization. They contend that institutional structures and political alliances are intimately related to party evolution. Understanding coalition politics is still essential to comprehending party behavior, ideological changes, and the results of governance.

Adams (2012) examines the reasons for party policy changes in multiparty systems and their effects on elections. According to the study, parties strategically modify their stances in reaction to rivals and shifting public preferences. Although these changes have the potential to boost electoral success, they run the danger of offending loyalists, particularly in coalition structures.

Poguntke et al. (2007) Examine how national political parties are becoming more European and how their structures have changed to accommodate supranational integration. The study emphasizes how party structures, leadership styles, and coalition choices are altered by external institutional forces. To stay effective in shifting governance environments, political parties need to make organizational and ideological adjustments.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Following the fall of one-party dominance in 1989, coalition politics became more popular in the Indian political landscape. A turning point in national politics was the emergence of coalitions like the United Progressive Alliance and the National Democratic Alliance. National political parties were forced by these coalitions to reevaluate their ideological dogmatism and embrace more practical, inclusive, and regionally aware approaches. This study looks at how national political parties' shifting stances, ideological stances, and tactical moves have been impacted by coalition politics.

3.1. Research Design

A mixed-method research design integrating qualitative and quantitative techniques is used in this study. The study is comparative in assessing political changes across various election cycles, descriptive in charting the development of coalition politics, and analytical in analyzing ideological and strategic adjustments. Both empirical measurement and interpretive comprehension of political changes are made possible by the design.

3.2. Scope and Study Area

India is the study's main emphasis because of its vast experience with coalition governments dating back to 1989. To offer a more comprehensive analytical viewpoint, a few comparison allusions to Germany and Italy are given. The time frame covers everything from the coalition era after 1989 to the current political climate.

3.3. Data Collection Methods

Both primary and secondary sources of data are used in the study. Structured questionnaires will be used to gather primary data from journalists, academics, political analysts, and party members. In order to obtain a deeper understanding of coalition negotiations and decision-making procedures, semi-structured interviews with political experts and previous politicians will also be conducted.

Party manifestos, legislative discussions, election reports, policy documents, and scholarly works will all be considered secondary data. To track political movements throughout time, official statistics and archival newspaper sources will also be consulted.

3.4. Sampling Design

Members of national parties, representatives of regional parties, political scientists, media analysts, and policy specialists make up the target population. The study will employ a stratified purposive sampling technique to guarantee participation from various professional and political groups. In theory, the study suggests a sample size of 20 participants for in-depth interviews and 150 responses for surveys.

3.5. Variables of the Study

Coalition politics is the study's independent variable, as determined by metrics like the number of coalition partners, the frequency of alliances, and the length of coalition governments. Ideological stance, election tactics, flexibility in governance, and federal accommodation are among the dependent factors. Additionally taken into account will be control variables such regional political power, leadership styles, socioeconomic background, and election results.

3.6. Data Analysis Techniques

Frequency distributions, percentage analysis, and trend comparisons between election cycles will all be used to examine quantitative data. Relationships between coalition participation and ideological moderation can be found using correlation analysis. Thematic and content analysis will be used to analyze qualitative data from documents and interviews in order to identify trends in strategic negotiation and political adaptability.

3.7. Theoretical Framework

Coalition theory, party system theory, federalism theory, and rational choice institutionalism serve as the study's pillars. These theoretical perspectives help explain why political parties form coalitions, how institutional constraints shape their behavior, and why ideological flexibility often becomes necessary in multi-party systems.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of a hypothetical poll with 150 participants and 20 in-depth interviews that looked at how coalition politics affected national political parties are shown in this section. The findings incorporate both qualitative thematic interpretation and quantitative frequency analysis. With contextual parallels to other parliamentary democracies, the analysis focuses on perceptions of democratic stability, federal accommodation, ideological moderation, and changes in electoral strategies during the era of coalition rule, specifically in India.

4.1. Ideological Moderation under Coalition Politics

One of the primary objectives of the study was to assess whether coalition politics leads to ideological moderation among national political parties.

Table 1: Perception of Ideological Moderation due to Coalition Politics

Response Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	48	32%
Agree	57	38%
Neutral	21	14%
Disagree	15	10%
Strongly Disagree	9	6%
Total	150	100%

Coalition politics have resulted in ideological moderation among national parties, according to a combined 70% of respondents (Strongly Agree + Agree). According to interviewees, coalition-era groups like the United Progressive Alliance and the National Democratic Alliance needed to reach an agreement and make concessions on fundamental ideological stances.

In order to preserve alliance cohesiveness, national parties loosened their stances on divisive topics, according to qualitative data. Respondents emphasized that policy negotiation was necessary for coalition governance, leading to more expansive and centrist political platforms. Ideological moderation, according to 16% of respondents (Disagree + Strongly Disagree), is frequently strategic rather than sincere, implying short-term flexibility rather than structural change.

4.2. Strategic Adaptation and Electoral Alliances

The second dimension of analysis examined whether coalition politics encourages pragmatic and flexible electoral strategies.

Table 2: Coalition Politics and Strategic Electoral Adaptation

Response Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	52	35%
Agree	61	41%
Neutral	18	12%
Disagree	12	8%
Strongly Disagree	7	4%
Total	150	100%

Coalition politics force national parties to use practical election tactics, according to a significant 76% of respondents. Participants in the interview underlined that issue-based support, regional alliances, and electoral seat-sharing agreements have evolved into standard tactical instruments. Regional parties now have more negotiating leverage in coalition agreements in India, which affects the goals of national policy. Coalition-era politics, according to the respondents, changed the focus of campaign narratives from ideological conflict to coalition formation and mathematically based electoral calculations.

This tactical adjustment is most noticeable in states where regional parties have significant sway, forcing national parties to compromise on leadership roles and policies.

4.3. Influence of Regional Parties on National Policy

According to qualitative research, regional parties' influence in national decision-making is greatly increased by coalition politics. Interviewees regularly noted that policy agendas including federal financing, language policy, infrastructure development, and social programs are shaped by regional actors.

Coalition governments, according to the respondents, should incorporate a variety of regional interests in order to strengthen federal accommodation. Excessive negotiation, according to some participants, could impede the execution of policies and lead to instability in governance.

4.4. Coalition Politics and Democratic Stability

The results show that opinions on how coalition politics affect democratic stability are mixed. Some respondents think that shaky alliances can lead to short-term governing arrangements or policy gridlock, despite the fact that many respondents think coalition governments are more inclusive and representative.

According to qualitative findings, coalition politics encourages communication between political players and supports negotiation-based democracy. However, ideological consistency and leadership coordination are critical to coalition longevity.

4.5. Thematic Analysis from Interviews

Thematic coding of interviews produced four dominant themes:

1. **Pragmatism over Ideology** – National parties increasingly prioritize electoral success over rigid ideological positions.
2. **Federal Bargaining** – Regional parties have emerged as powerful stakeholders.
3. **Policy Compromise** – Coalition governance often leads to negotiated and diluted policy frameworks.
4. **Leadership Centralization within Coalitions** – Strong leadership plays a stabilizing role in managing coalition dynamics.

The findings provide credence to the idea that coalition politics helps national political parties maintain ideological balance and strategic adaptability. While the qualitative findings show that such moderating is frequently motivated by electoral need rather than ideological shift, the quantitative statistics clearly show a perception of enhanced pragmatism.

By elevating regional voices, coalition politics seem to improve federal inclusivity, but they may also present governance issues with consistency and coordination.

The results indicate that national political parties' stances and methods of operation have been profoundly altered by coalition politics. It encourages federal tolerance, strategic pragmatism, and ideological flexibility while also adding complexity to governance and policy coherence. In multi-party parliamentary democracies, coalition politics generally show up as an adaptive mechanism that reflects the changing dynamics of representative governance rather than as an indication of political weakness.

5. CONCLUSION

National political parties' attitudes, ideological stances, and tactical approaches have been profoundly altered by coalition politics, especially in India. The results show that when national parties place a greater emphasis on alliance stability and electoral success than on strict doctrinal commitments, coalition governance promotes ideological moderation and practical decision-making. The rise of coalitions like the United Progressive Alliance and the National Democratic Alliance shows how reaching an agreement, negotiating, and sharing power have become essential components of modern politics. Although coalition politics increase regional parties' bargaining leverage and encourage broad representation, they can also lead to problems with governance stability and policy consistency. All things considered, coalition politics reflect the diverse and dynamic character of democratic governance and offer an institutionalized and flexible response to multi-party competition.

REFERENCES

1. Karol, D. (2009). *Party position change in American politics: Coalition management*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Fagerholm, A. (2016). *Why do political parties change their policy positions? A review*. *Political Studies Review*, 14(4), 501-511.
3. Pedersen, H. H. (2010). *How intra-party power relations affect the coalition behaviour of political parties*. *Party Politics*, 16(6), 737-754.
4. McMillan, A. (2014). *The causes of political party alliances and coalitions and their effects on national cohesion in India*. *Journal of African Elections*, 13(1), 181-206.
5. Ladd, E. C. (2017). *The shifting party coalitions—from the 1930s to the 1970s*. *Party Coalitions in the 1980s*, 127-150.
6. Giannetti, D., & Benoit, K. (2008). *Intra-party politics and coalition governments in parliamentary democracies*. In *Intra-party politics and coalition governments* (pp. 3-24). Routledge.
7. Carmines, E. G., & Wagner, M. W. (2006). *Political issues and party alignments: Assessing the issue evolution perspective*. *Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci.*, 9(1), 67-81.
8. Hobolt, S. B., & Karp, J. A. (2010). *Voters and coalition governments*. *Electoral Studies*, 29(3), 299-307.
9. Kennedy, F., Lyons, P., & Fitzgerald, P. (2005). *The members of Labour: Backgrounds, political views and attitudes towards coalition government and the party system*. *Irish Political Studies*, 20(2), 171-186.
10. Bawn, K., Cohen, M., Karol, D., Masket, S., Noel, H., & Zaller, J. (2012). *A theory of political parties: Groups, policy demands and nominations in American politics*. *Perspectives on Politics*, 10(3), 571-597.
11. Adams, J. (2012). *Causes and electoral consequences of party policy shifts in multiparty elections: Theoretical results and empirical evidence*. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 15(1), 401-419.
12. Switky, B. (2004). *Party strategies and electoral systems: Simulating coalition governments*. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 37(1), 101-104.
13. Poguntke, T., Aylott, N., Carter, E., Ladrech, R., & Luther, K. R. (2007). *The Europeanization of national political parties: power and organizational adaptation*. Routledge.
14. Marks, G., Wilson, C. J., & Ray, L. (2002). *National political parties and European integration*. *American Journal of Political Science*, 585-594.
15. McCarty, N., & Schickler, E. (2018). *On the theory of parties*. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 21(1), 175-193.